

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIZAWA 09/055,973 04/07/98 **EXAMINER** LM41/0305 FOLEY & LARDNER LE, T 3000 K STREET N W SUITE 500 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P 0 BOX 25696 WASHINGTON DC 20007-8696 2745 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No	Applicant(s) Serizawa	e etal	
Office Action Summ	nary hary	Examiner	le	Group Art Unit	र्भ	
-The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears	on the cover	sheet beneath the	correspondence ac	dress	
Period for Response						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	RESPONSE IS SET	TO EXPIRE	MO	NTH(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the prefrom the mailing date of this communication: If the period for response specified above is lessed. If NO period for response is specified above, such a Failure to respond within the set or extended period. 	than thirty (30) days, a re h period shall, by default	esponse within t , expire SIX (6)	he statutory minimum MONTHS from the ma	of thirty (30) days will be dilling date of this commun	considered tim	
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n					
☐ This action is FINAL.					•	
 Since this application is in condition for a accordance with the practice under Ex p 				to the merits is clos	sed in	
Disposition of Claims Disclaim(s) 1-2,	8-9 and	17-19	· in/o	vo mandina in the case	Na čata a	
	Of the above claim(s)					
					isideration.	
□ Claim(s) 1-2	8-9 1 1	17-19	is/a	re allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)					or election	
Application Papers	•		requ	uirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson	n's Patent Drawing Ro	eview, PTO-9	48.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed o	on	is 🗆 app	roved 🗆 disappro	ved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	to by the Exa	miner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. §	11 9(a)-(d).			
☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERT	TIFIED copies of the	priority docun	nents have been			
received.		28/16	$a_2 \rightarrow a$			
received in Application No. (Series Co				······································		
)))·		
*Certified copies not received:				•		
Attachment(s)		<u></u>				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTG	O-1449, Paper No(s)		☐ Interview Su	mmary, PTO-413		
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			☐ Notice of Infe	ormal Patent Applicati	ion, PTO-15	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948		Other			
	Office Ac	tion Summa	ry			
Patent and Trademark Office	#UC 000				 (
- 326 (Rev. 3-97)	"U.S. GPO: 199	7-417-381/62710		Part of Pape	r No	

Part of Paper No._

Serial Number: 09/055,973

Art Unit: 2745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 2, line 3, "said circuits" lacks proper antecedent; lines 6-7, "the transition rate" should be -- the transmission rate --.
- Claim 16, lines 2-3, "said narrowband uplink" and "said narrowband low-speed downlink" lack proper antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahany et al. (US Pat. No. 5,483,676).

Art Unit: 2745

- Consider claims 1, 2, 8 and 9, Mahany shows in figure 6 a radio communication system which includes a base station and a plurality of terminals wherein the base station and each of the terminal comprises: low-speed transmitting and receiving means and high-speed transmitting and receiving means for transmitting and receiving radio signals at relatively low and high transmission rates. The high transmission rate as disclosed by Mahany is an integral multiple of the low transmission rate (i.e., 9600 baud vs. 4800 baud).

- As to claims 17-19, the elements recited are all encompassed by Mahany et al. Note that the base station controller and the host computer are considered as the server which includes means for storing, processing and controlling data.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-2, 8-9 and 17-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No.

Serial Number: 09/055,973

Art Unit: 2745

5,754,961. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-2, 8-9 and 17-19 are various wordings and are encompassed by claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 5,754,961.

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Cong Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TCL Feb 25, 1999

THANH CONG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER